UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,777	02/21/2006	Jurgen Frank	13156-00037-US	9551	
	7590 08/28/200 BOVE LODGE & HUT		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			REDDY, KARUNA P		
WILMINGTOR	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Intonvious Summary	10/568,777	FRANK ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KARUNA P. REDDY	1796				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>KARUNA P. REDDY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Bryant Young</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>26 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Chaudary (EP 019662 A2); Berghofer et al (US 6211400 B1); and Brown et al (US 2002/0068791)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicat's representative argued the differences between the claimed invention and cited references</u> . 2) Examiner would consider the filed amendments in light of the arguments presented with respect to examples 21-29 on pages 23-25 of present disclosure.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Karuna P Reddy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1796				